BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 5536

RALEY'S CORP. dba RALEY'S PHARMACY #247 1842 Fort Jones Street Yreka, CA 96097

OAH No. 2016040522

Original Permit Number PHY 30855

RALEY'S CORP. dba RALEY'S PHARMACY #247, Original Permit Number PHY 30855 ONLY

and

RALEY'S HOLDING COMPANY dba RALEY'S PHARMACY #247 1842 Fort Jones Street Yreka, CA 96097

Original Permit Number PHY 53495

and

RUSSELL DEAN SANDERS

Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 30311

Respondents.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval for Respondent Raley's Original Permit Number PHY 30855 is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on October 28, 2016.

It is so ORDERED on September 28, 2016.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Ву

Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. Board President

KAMALA D. HARRIS	
Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS	
Supervising Deputy Attorney General PHILLIP L. ARTHUR	
Deputy Attorney General	
State Bar No. 238339 1300 I Street, Suite 125	
P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550	•
Telephone: (916) 322-0032 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643	•
E-mail: Phillip.Arthur@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant	•
1	
BOARD (ORE THE OF PHARMACY
DEPARTMENT OF STATE O	F CONSUMER AFFAIRS F CALIFORNIA
In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5536
RALEY'S CORP. dba RALEY'S PHARMACY #247	OAH No. 2016040522
–1842 Fort Jones Street Yreka, CA 96097	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER FOR PUBI
Original Permit Number PHY 30855	REPROVAL [RALEY'S CORP. dba RALEY'S PHARMACY #247, Origin
and	Permit Number PHY 30855 ONLY
RALEY'S HOLDING COMPANY dba RALEY'S PHARMACY #247 1842 Fort Jones Street, Yreka, CA 96097 Yreka, CA 96097	[Bus. & Prof. Code § 495]
Original Permit Number PHY 53495	
and	
RUSSELL DEAN SANDERS	
P.O. Box 9 Grenada, CA 96038-0009	
Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 3031	1
Respondent	s.
$\left \frac{III}{2.1} \right $	
111	
111	

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the aboveentitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

PARTIES

- 1. VIRGINIA HEROLD (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Phillip L. Arthur, Deputy Attorney General.
- 2. Respondents Raley's Corp. dba Raley's Pharmacy #247 and Raley's Holding Company dba Raley's Pharmacy #247 (Respondent Raley's) are represented in this proceeding by attorney Anthony D. Lauria, whose address is: Lauria Tokunaga Gates & Linn, LLP, Attorneys at Law, 1755 Creekside Oaks Dr., Suite 240, Sacramento, CA 95833.
- 3. On or about November 1, 1984, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Permit No. PHY 30855 to Raley's Corp. dba Raley's Pharmacy #247 (Respondent Raley's). The Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 5536, however it was canceled on May 20, 2015 pursuant to a change of ownership and has not been renewed.
- 4. On or about May 19, 2015, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Permit Number PHY 53495 to Raley's Holding Company dba Raley's Pharmacy #247 (Respondent Raley's). The Original Permit is in full force and effect and will expire on May 1, 2017, unless renewed.
- 5. On or about June 22, 1976, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 30311 to Russell Dean Sanders (Respondent Sanders). Respondent Sanders was the Pharmacist-in-Charge of Respondent Raley's from May 3, 1990 through January 18, 2014. The Original Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, however it expired on September 30, 2014, and has not been renewed.

JURISDICTION

6. Accusation No. 5536 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs and is currently pending against Respondents. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondents on March 10, 2016.

4

9

7

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22. 23

24

25 26

27

28

Respondent Raley's timely filed its Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 5536 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 7. Respondent Raley's has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5536. Respondent Raley's has also carefully read. fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval.
- 8. Respondent Raley's is fully aware of its legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at its own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against them; the right to present evidence and testify on its own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 9. Respondent Raley's voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- Respondent Raley's admits that at hearing Complainant could establish a factual basis for charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5536, and that those charges and allegations are cause for discipline. Respondent Raley's hereby gives up their right to contest those charges and allegations.
- Respondent Raley's agrees that its Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 30855 is subject to 11. discipline and they agree to be bound by the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent Raley's understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent Raley's or its counsel. By signing the

g

III

stipulation, Respondent Raley's understands and agrees that they may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

- 13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF), electronic, and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval, including Portable Document Format (PDF), electronic, and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 30855 issued to Respondent Raley's Corp. dba Raley's Pharmacy #247 (Respondent Raley's) shall be publicly reproved by the Board of Pharmacy under Business and Professions Code section 495 based on the allegations set forth in Accusation No. 5536 which is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein. The charges in Accusation No. 5536 against Respondent Raley's Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 53495 are hereby withdrawn.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent Raley's shall comply with terms and conditions as set for the below. Any violation of the terms and conditions shall constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds for further disciplinary action.

1. Cost Recovery. Respondent Raley's shall pay \$4,685,00 to the Board for its costs associated with the investigation and enforcement of this matter. Respondent Raley's shall pay these costs within thirty (30) days of the effective date of the Decision. If Respondent Raley's fails to pay the Board costs as ordered, Respondent Raley's shall not be allowed to renew their Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 53495 until Respondent Raley's pays costs in full.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Anthony D. Lauria. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy Permit. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DBA RALEY'S PHARMACY #247 WILLIAM WORTHY VICE PRESIDENT OF RALEY'S PHARMACY & Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Raley's Corp. dba Raley's Pharmacy #247 the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval. I approve its forso and content.

Anthony D. Lauria Attorney for Respondent Raley's

111

111

ï **ENDORSEMENT** The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs. Respectfully submitted, KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant SA2015104446 12259389.doc

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 5536

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	ļ	
2	Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General PHILLIP L. ARTHUR		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 238339		
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	·	
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 322-0032		
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 E-mail: Phillip.Arthur@doj.ca.gov		
8	Attorneys for Complainant	·	
9		RE THE PHARMACY	ı
10	DEPARTMENT OF C	CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA	1
11		1	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5536	
13	RALEY'S CORP. dba RALEY'S PHARMACY #247 —1842 Fort Jones Street	ACCUSATION	
14	Yreka, CA 96097	THE COUNTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	
15	Original Permit Number PHY 30855		
16	and	,	
. 1 <u>7</u> 18	RALEY'S HOLDING COMPANY dba RALEY'S PHARMACY #247 1842 Fort Jones Street, Yreka, CA 96097		
19.	Yreka, CA 96097		
20	Original Permit Number PHY 53495		1
21	and		١.
22	RUSSELL DEAN SANDERS P.O. Box 9		ı
23	Grenada, CA 96038-0009		!
24	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 30311	,	i
25	Respondents.		
26			
27	<i>III</i>		
. 28	<i>111</i> .		
.		1	
	(RALEY'S CORP, DBA RALEY'S PHARMACY PHARMA	#247; RALEY'S HOLDING COMPANY DBA RALEY'S ACY #247; RUSSELL DEAN SANDERS) ACCUSATION	

· 22 ·

PARTIES

- 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
- 2. On or about November 1, 1984, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Permit Number PHY 30855 to Raley's Corp. dba Raley's Pharmacy #247 (Respondent Raley's). The Original Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, however it was canceled on May 20, 2015 pursuant to a change of ownership and has not been renewed.
- 3. On or about May 19, 2015, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Permit Number PHY 53495 to Raley's Holding Company doa Raley's Pharmacy #247 (Respondent Raley's). The Original Permit is in full force and effect and will expire on May 1, 2016, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about June 22, 1976, the Board of Pharmacy, issued Original Pharmacist
 License Number RPH 30311 to Russell Dean Sanders (Respondent Sanders). Respondent
 Sanders was the Pharmacist-in-Charge of Respondent Raley's from May 3, 1990 through January
 18, 2014. The Original Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the
 charges brought herein, however it expired on September 30, 2014, and has not been renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 5. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 6. Code section 4300.1 states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

7. Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- (d) The clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of subdivision (a) of Section 11153 of the Health and Safety Code. . . .
- 8. Code section 4081 states:
- (a) All records of manufacture and of sale, acquisition, receipt, shipment, or disposition of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices shall be at all times during business hours open to inspection by authorized officers of the law, and shall be preserved for at least three years from the date of making. A current inventory shall be kept by every manufacturer, wholesaler, third-party logistics provider, pharmacy, veterinary food-animal drug retailer, physician, dentist, podiatrist, veterinarian, laboratory, clinic, hospital, institution, or establishment holding a currently valid and unrevoked certificate, license, permit, registration, or exemption under Division 2 (commencing with Section 1200) of the Health and Safety Code or under Part 4 (commencing with Section 16000) of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code who maintains a stock of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices.
- (b) The owner, officer, and partner of a pharmacy, wholesaler, third-party logistics provider, or veterinary food-animal drug retailer shall be jointly responsible, with the pharmacist-in-charge, responsible manager, or designated representative-in-charge, for maintaining the records and inventory described in this section.
- (c) The pharmacist-in-charge, responsible manager, or designated representative-in-charge shall not be criminally responsible for acts of the owner, officer, partner, or employee that violate this section and of which the pharmacist-in-charge, responsible manager, or designated representative-in-charge had no knowledge, or in which he or she did not knowingly participate.

HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE

- 9. Health and Safety Code section 11153 states, in pertinent part:
- (a) A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. Except as authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions: (1) an order purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) an order for an addict or habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of professional treatment or as part of an authorized narcotic treatment program, for the purpose of providing the user with controlled substances, sufficient to keep him or her comfortable by maintaining customary use. . . .

 10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714 states, in pertinent part:

(b) Each pharmacy licensed by the board shall maintain its facilities, space, fixtures, and equipment so that drugs are safely and properly prepared, maintained, secured and distributed. The pharmacy shall be of sufficient size and unobstructed area to accommodate the safe practice of pharmacy.

(d) Each pharmacist while on duty shall be responsible for the security of the prescription department, including provisions for effective control against theft or diversion of dangerous drugs and devices, and records for such drugs and devices. Possession of a key to the pharmacy where dangerous drugs and controlled substances are stored shall be restricted to a pharmacist. . . .

11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1718, states:

"Current Inventory' as used in Sections 4081 and 4332 of the Business and Professions Code shall be considered to include complete accountability for all dangerous drugs handled by every licensee enumerated in Sections 4081 and 4332.

"The controlled substances inventories required by Title 21, CFR, Section 1304 shall be available for inspection upon request for at least 3 years after the date of the inventory."

CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS

12. Code of Federal Regulations, title 21, section 1306.04 states, in pertinent part:

(a) A prescription for a controlled substance to be effective must be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. An order purporting to be a prescription issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research is not a prescription within the meaning and intent of section 309 of the Act (21 U.S.C. 829) and the person knowingly filling such a purported prescription, as well as the person issuing it, shall be subject to the penalties provided for violations of the provisions of law relating to controlled substances.

COST RECOVERY

13. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

10.

.

25_.

 14. "Hydrocodone w/APAP" (hydrocodone with acetaminophen tablets) is a semisynthetic narcotic analgesic, a dangerous drug as defined in Code section 4022, a Schedule III controlled substance and narcotic as defined by section 11056(e) of the Health and Safety Code, and a Schedule III controlled substance as defined by section 1308.13(e) of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

- 15. "Carisoprodol" is a muscle-relaxant and sedative. It is a dangerous drug as defined in Code section 4022 and a schedule IV controlled substance as defined by section 1308.14(c)(6) of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations,
- 16. "Oxycodone" with acetaminophen and oxycodone with aspirin both contain oxycodone, a white odorless crystalline powder derived from the opium alkaloid, thebaine. Oxycodone is a semisynthetic narcotic analgesic with multiple actions qualitatively similar to those of morphine. It is a dangerous drug as defined in Code section 4022, a schedule II controlled substance and narcotic as defined by section 11055(b)(1)(M) of the Health and Safety Code, and a Schedule II controlled substance as defined by section 1308.12(b)(1) of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

BACKGROUND

Events occurring in 2013

- 17. On or about September 24, 2013, the Board received a complaint alleging that during a two-month period, Respondent Sanders, as the Pharmacist-in-Charge of Respondent Raley's, failed to consider red-flag warnings and dispensed controlled substances to over eight people from a physician 450 miles away in Fresno, Dr. J. F.
- 18. In response to the complaint, Board Inspector K. R.-P. ("Inspector") requested CURES¹ reports for Dr. J. F. (from January 1, 2012 through October 10, 2013) and Respondent Raley's (from January 1 through October 10, 2013).

¹ CURES is a database containing information on Schedule II through IV controlled substances dispensed in California. It is a valuable investigative, preventive, and educational tool for the healthcare community, regulatory boards, and law enforcement.

- 19. The Inspector reviewed the CURES reports and selected the following patients which had prescriptions written by Dr. J. F.: A. F., B. B., D. W., E. N., E. B., J. N., S. W., and D. M. The Inspector requested various information from Respondents Raley's and Sanders regarding prescriptions that had been issued to these patients from January 1, 2011 through November 4, 2013. When Sanders responded to the request, he admitted filling the referenced prescriptions from May 18 through July 22, 2013. Sanders admitted there were oddities in the prescriptions in that they were issued from Dr. J. F., in Fresno, for patients residing in Weed, California (which is 400 miles from Dr. J. F.'s office and twenty-four miles from Raley's). Sanders also admitted that all of the prescriptions were brought in by a "caregiver," R. B., who picked up prescriptions for six of the seven patients. Sanders further admitted that all seven patients paid cash for their prescriptions; no third-party insurance was billed.
- 20. According to prescription documents provided by Respondent Raley's, Raley's filled a total of twenty-two prescriptions written by Dr. J. F. between January 1 and October 10, 2013. The Inspector confirmed twenty prescriptions with CURES data reports from Dr. J. F. and Raley's.
- 21. A review of the patient profiles from the CURES report, selected by the Inspector, which had prescriptions written by Dr. J. F. and filled by Respondent Raley's between May 18 and July 22, 2013, revealed the following: (1) all seven of the patients received oxycodone 30 mg (2) six of the seven patients received hydrocodone/apap 10/325mg; (3) six of the seven patients received carisoprodol 350mg; (4) two of the seven patients received meloxicam 15mg; (5) two of the seven patients received ibuprofen 800mg; (6) one of the seven patients received alprazolam 2mg; and (7) two of the seven patients received methadone 10mg. Every prescription presented was filled and picked up.

Events occurring in 2014

22. On or about September 11, 2014, Respondent Raley's provided the Board with a copy of Report of Theft or Loss of Controlled Substances DEA Form 106 stating that a Pharmacy Clerk had been terminated for theft of hydrocodone/apap 10mg/325mg on or about September 6, 2014.

	Count at Last <u>Inventory</u>	Purchases	Dispensed RXs	Returns/ <u>Destruction</u>	Actual Inventory 10/9/14 COB	<u>Variance</u>
DRUG hc/apap 10/325	6,368	118,421	121,888	0	564	-2,337
hc/apap 5/300	432	700	913	0	160	-59
hc/apap 5/500	1,667	57,900	59,102	0 .	0	-465
hc/apap 7.5/500	1,240	0 .	120	1,120	0 .	0 .
hc/apap 7.5/325	120	1,720	1,590	0	-230	-20
hc/apap 5/325	624 10,17	0 ' .	10,715	0	0	-79

- 24. Board Inspector J. W. reviewed the audit summary and discovered several discrepancies with the identification of the reported drug loss. J. W. subsequently requested a corrected or updated audit summary.
- 25. On or about February 9, 2015, the Board received an updated audit summary from Respondent Raley's. The audit summary indicated the following:

24 | ///

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 ///

26 1//

27 | 111

28 | ///

•	11			•			,
1 2		Count at Last <u>Inventory</u>	Purchases	Dispensed RXs	Returns/ <u>Destruction</u>	Actual Inventory 10/9/14 COB	Variance
3	DRUG			•	•		•
4	hc/apap 10/325	6,368	118,421	121,888	0	564	-2,337
5	107520						
6	hc/apap 5/300	432	700	913	0	160	-59 ·
7	hc/apap	1,667	57,900	59,102	0		
8	5/325		37,500	59,102	U	0	-465
9	hc/apap	1,240	0 ,	120	1,120	0	0
10	5/500				,	·	
11	hc/apap 7.5/500	120	1,720	1,590	0	230	20
12			•				
13	hc/apap 7.5/325	624	10,170	10,715	0.	0	- 79
14	26.	On or about	February 1	7, 2015, Board Ins	spector J. W. obtai	ned Respon	dent Raley's
15	DEA inver				- codone/apap prod	_	-
16	(a snapsho	t showing inv	entory for tl	nat day).	•		

- (a snapshot showing inventory for that day).
- 27. On or about February 19, 2015, Respondent Raley's Pharmacist-in-Charge provided Board Inspector J. W. with Raley's perpetual inventory log sheets for several hydrocodone/apap products from October 6-20, 2015.
- Using the DBA inventories and Respondent Raley's perpetual inventory log sheets, Board Inspector J. W. reviewed Raley's updated audit summary and discovered a discrepancy in the identification of the reported drug loss. Specifically, J. W. noted that 564 dosage units were reported as ending inventory by Raley's for hydrocodone/apap 10mg/325mg, however the perpetual inventory logs revealed that ending inventory was 156 dosage units. J. W. calculated the following numbers for beginning and ending inventory as follows:

HI

27 111

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

DRUG						
DRUG hc/apap 10/325	6,368	•	156			
hc/apap 5/300	374		160		•	
hc/apap 5/325	1,667		. 0	•		
hc/apap 5/500	1,240		0			
he/apap 7.5/500	120	,	230			
hc/apap 7.5/325	624	,	0			
.29.	Board Inspector J.	W. revised Respon	dent Raley's u	pdated audi	t summar	y, using 3
dosage un	its for the beginning	inventory for hydro	rcodone/anan	5mg/300mg	and 156	dosage ur
1	a - O	involvery tol liyare	. quique conocion	,	,	
11	ling inventory for hy					•
11						•
for the end						•
for the end						•
for the end summary:						•
for the end summary: ///						•
for the end summary:						•
for the end summary: /// ///						•
for the end summary: /// /// /// ///						•
for the end summary: /// /// /// /// /// ///						•
for the end summary: /// /// /// /// /// /// ///						•
for the end summary: /// /// /// /// /// /// ///						•
for the end summary: /// /// /// /// /// /// ///						•
for the end summary: /// /// /// /// /// /// ///						•

1 2 3		Count at Last Inventory	Purchases	Dispensed RXs	Returns/ <u>Destruction</u>	Actual Inventory 10/9/14 COB	<u>Variance</u>
	DRUG		110 401	101.000			
4	hc/apap 10/325	6,368	118,421	121,888	0	156	-2,745
5		-					
6	hc/apap 5/300	374	700	913 .	0	160	-1
7	hc/apap	1,667	57,900	59,102	0	0	-465
8	5/325	1,001	37,300			V	-403 (
9	hc/apap	1,240	.0	120	1,120	0	0
10	5/500						
11	hc/apap 7.5/500	120	1,720	1,590	0	230	0
12		_		•		٠	
13	hc/apap 7.5/325	624	10,170	10,715	0	0 .	-79
14	30.	Based upon	Board Inspe	ctor J. W.'s corre	cted audit summa	y for Respo	ndent

30. Based upon Board Inspector J. W.'s corrected audit summary for Respondent Raley's, Raley's failed to account for the loss of approximately 2,745 dosage units of hydrocodone/apap 10mg/325mg, one dosage unit of hydrocodone/apap 5mg/300mg, 465 dosage units of hydrocodone/apap 5mg/325mg, and seventy-nine dosage units of hydrocodone/apap 7.5mg/325 mg.

- 16

RESPONDENT RALEY'S

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Responsibility for Legitimacy of Prescription; Corresponding Responsibility of Pharmacist; Knowing Violation)

31. Respondent Raley's is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(d), by and through Health and Safety Code section 11153(a) and Code of Federal Regulations, title 21, section 1306.04(a), in that Raley's, by and through its pharmacist employees, excessively furnished controlled substances without a legitimate medical purpose to seven patients between May 18 and July 22, 2013. The facts and circumstances are described with more particularity in paragraphs 17-21, above.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unsecured Pharmacy)

32. Respondent Raley's is subject to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations, section 1714(b), in that Raley's facilities, space, fixtures, and equipment were not maintained so that drugs were safely and properly maintained, secured, and distributed, when a pharmacy clerk employed by Raley's stole hydrocodone/apap 10mg/325mg on or before September 6, 2014. The circumstances are described with more particularity in paragraph 22, above.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Current Inventory)

33. Respondent Raley's is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4081(a), and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1718, in that Raley's, by and through its pharmacist employees, failed to keep an accurate controlled substance current inventory for at least three years after the date of the inventory, as alleged above in paragraphs 22-30.

RESPONDENT SANDERS

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Responsibility for Legitimacy of Prescription; Corresponding Responsibility of Pharmacist; Knowing Violation)

34. Respondent Sanders is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(d), by and through Health and Safety Code section 11153(a) and Code of Federal Regulations, title 21, section 1306.04(a), in that Sanders, as the Pharmacist-in-Charge of Respondent Raley's, allowed the excessive furnishing of controlled substances by Raley's pharmacist employees without a legitimate medical purpose to seven patients between May 18 and July 22, 2013. The facts and circumstances are described with more particularity in paragraphs 17-21, above,

26 || ///

27 1 ///

28 | ///

23

25

26

27